	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/866,806	WALSH, SEAN F.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jack Chiang	2642
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Jack Chiang</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. James Young</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 April 2005.		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 1,14 and 16.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Hill and Ray.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>the "concaved" underside and its guiding function are discussed and compared with the cited references</u> . Ray has a concaved top side which is not anticipating the claimed limitation and its function.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		ı.
•		
	JACK C PRIMARY E	HIANG XAMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ature, if required